

ON $-K^2$ FOR NORMAL SURFACE SINGULARITIES

HAO CHEN & SHIHOKO ISHII

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show the lower bound of the set of non-zero $-K^2$ for normal surface singularities establishing that this set has no accumulation points from above. We also prove that every accumulation point from below is a rational number and every positive integer is an accumulation point. Every rational number can be an accumulation point modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. We determine all accumulation points in $[0, 1]$. If we fix the value $-K^2$, then the values of p_g , p_a , mult, embeddim and the numerical indices are bounded, while the numbers of the exceptional curves are not bounded.

0. Introduction

For a normal surface singularity (X, x) over $\mathbb{C}$, we have two kinds of plurigenera $\gamma_m(X, x)$ and $\delta_m(X, x)$ which are defined by Knöller [7] and Watanabe [13] respectively. Both plurigenera grow in order at most 2 and the coefficients of the term of degree 2 are rational numbers. The leading coefficient of $\gamma_m(X, x)$ is $-K^2/2$, where K is the numerical canonical divisor on the minimal resolution (cf. [9]), and that of $\delta_m(X, x)$ is $-P^2/2$ (cf. [12]). It is well known that $-K^2 = 0$ if and only if the singularity is a rational double point. In this paper, we study the set of the values of $-K^2$. The set $\{-P^2\}$ is studied by Ganter in [5]. Her results are: if one fixes the numerical index m of singularities, then non-zero $-P^2$ has the lower bound $1/42m$ and the set of $-P^2$ has no accumulation points from above, which is equivalent to the descending chain condition (D.C.C. for short) because of the lower bound. Here one should note that there are accumulation points of $-P^2$ from above, if one does not fix the numerical index (see 3.10). Our results on $-K^2$ are simpler. The discussions go well without fixing the numerical index. We prove that non-zero $-K^2$ has the lower bound $1/3$ and the set of $-K^2$ has D.C.C.. Then we show that all accumulation points from below are rational numbers and every positive integer is an accumulation point. There are many accumulation points so that every rational number can be an accumulation point modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. The accumulation points in $[0, 1]$ turn out to be $\{1, m/(m+1) | m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Lastly we fix the value of $-K^2$ and observe the behavior of some invariants of singularities. We can see easily that the numbers of the exceptional curves are not bounded, but we prove that invariants p_g , p_a , mult , embdim , and the numerical indices are bounded.

For compact surfaces, this kind of problem is posed by Kollár in [8] and answered by Alexeev in [2] and reproved by Alexeev- Mori in [3]. Our result for singularities on the property D.C.C. is similar to the compact case.

We would like to express our hearty thanks to Professors Jonathan Wahl and Masataka Tomari for the helpful discussions with the second author. We are also grateful to the referee for the ideas which make the proofs of 1.2, 2.3 and 2.8 simple.

1. Lower bound and D.C.C. for $-K^2$

In this paper all surfaces are defined over $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.1. Let $A = \sum A_i$ be a compact reduced divisor whose intersection matrix $(A_i \cdot A_j)_{i,j}$ is negative definite on a normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial surface Y . One defines the numerical canonical divisor $K(A)$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor with the support on A as

$$K(A) \cdot A_i = K_Y \cdot A_i$$

for every i . In particular, if Y is the minimal resolution of a normal singularity (X, x) and A is the exceptional divisor, then $K(A)$ is called the numerical canonical divisor of the singularity (X, x) .

Note that $K(A)$ is well defined because of the negative definiteness of the intersection matrix.

First one proves a basic property on $-K(A)^2$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $A' < A$ be compact connected reduced divisors whose intersection matrices are negative definite on non-singular surface Y . Assume that there is no (-1) -curve on A . Then*

$$-K(A')^2 \leq -K(A)^2.$$

Proof. Note that $-K(A)$ and $-K(A')$ are effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors supported on A and A' respectively. Hence $(K(A) - K(A')) \cdot C \geq K(A) \cdot C \geq 0$ for a curve $C \subset \text{Supp} A$ with $C \not\subset \text{Supp} A'$, because A has no (-1) -curves. On the other hand, by the definition, $(K(A) - K(A')) \cdot C = 0$ for a curve $C \subset \text{Supp} A'$. Therefore $K(A) - K(A')$ is nef on $\text{Supp} A$. Hence $0 \geq (K(A) - K(A'))(K(A) + K(A')) = K(A)^2 - K(A')^2$ $\square$

Now one proves a lemma which is used for the lower bound and also D.C.C. for $-K^2$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i$ be a compact reduced divisor on a non-singular surface. Assume that the intersection matrix is negative definite and A has no (-1) -curves. Then*

$$-K(A)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (K(A) \cdot A_i)^2 / (-A_i^2).$$

Proof. Since it is sufficient to prove the inequality for each connected component, one may assume that A is connected. If A consists of (-2) -curves, then the equality holds, because the both hand sides are zero. So one assumes that A has a non- (-2) -curve. Then, denoting $K = K(A) = \sum m_i A_i$, it follows that $m_i < 0$ for every i , because K has non-negative intersections with all A_i .

Therefore

$$(1.3.1) \quad K \cdot A_i = \left(\sum m_j A_j \right) \cdot A_i = m_i A_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_j A_j \cdot A_i \leq m_i A_i^2.$$

Substituting $K \cdot A_i / (-A_i^2) \leq -m_i$ into $-K^2 = -K \cdot (\sum m_i A_i) = \sum (-m_i) K \cdot A_i$, one obtains the inequality. $\square$

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be the numerical canonical divisor of a normal surface singularity (X, x) . If (X, x) is not rational double, then*

$$-K^2 \geq \frac{1}{3}$$

and the equality holds if and only if (X, x) is the cyclic quotient singularity $X_{3,1}$.

Proof. Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i$ be the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution, then it satisfies the condition of Lemma 1.3. Let A_i be non- (-2) -curve and p its arithmetic genus. Then

$$(K \cdot A_i)^2 / (-A_i^2) = (2p - 2)^2 / (-A_i^2) + 2(2p - 2) - A_i^2 \geq 1/3,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $A_i^2 = -3$ and $p = 0$. In fact, if $p > 0$, then the left hand side is ≥ 1 ; on the other hand, if $p = 0$, then the left hand side is ≥ 1 for $-A_i^2 \geq 4$ and is $= 1/3$ for $-A_i^2 = 3$. By Lemma 1.3, it follows $-K(A)^2 \geq 1/3$. Here assume the equality, then there is only one non- (-2) -curve A_i which is (-3) -curve and the equality should hold in (1.3.1); $\sum_{j \neq i} m_j A_j \cdot A_i = 0$. Since $m_j < 0$ for every j and A is connected, the last equality implies that there is no other component. $\square$

In order to prove D.C.C. for $-K^2$ one should prepare the following notion.

Definition 1.5. Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^r E_i$ and $A' = \sum_{i=1}^r E'_i + \sum_{j=1}^n F_j$ be negative definite divisors with no (-1) -curves on non-singular surfaces Y and Y' respectively. One says that A' is a (-2) -insertion of A , or $A \rightarrow A'$ is a (-2) -insertion, if the following holds:

E_1, E_2, E'_1, E'_2 are (-2) -curves and $\sum_{j=1}^n F_j$ is a chain of (-2) -curves

$$p_a(E_i) = p_a(E'_i) \quad (\forall i = 1, \dots, r)$$

$$E_1 \cdot E_2 = 1, \quad E'_1 \cdot E'_2 = 0, \quad E_i \cdot E_k = E'_i \cdot E'_k, \quad \text{for } (i, k) \neq (1, 2)$$

$$F_1 \cdot E'_1 = F_n \cdot E'_2 = 1$$

$$F_j \cdot E'_i = 0 \quad (\forall j \neq 1, n, \quad \forall i).$$

In this case one calls $\sum_{j=1}^n F_j$ the insertion string and E'_1, E'_2 the props of the insertion string.

Lemma 1.6. Let $A' = \sum_{i=1}^r E'_i + \sum_{j=1}^n F_j$ be a (-2) -insertion of $A = \sum_{i=1}^r E_i$. Let E'_1 and E'_2 be the props of the insertion string. Let φ be the contraction morphism of $F_1, \dots, F_n$ and denote $\varphi_* A'$ and $\varphi_* E'_i$ by A'' and E''_i , respectively. Then the following hold:

(i) if the intersection matrix M of A is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & {}^t \mathbf{a}_1 \\ 1 & -2 & {}^t \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & N \end{pmatrix},$$

then the intersection matrix M_n of A'' is

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n+2}{n+1} & \frac{1}{n+1} & {}^t \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \frac{1}{n+1} & -\frac{n+2}{n+1} & {}^t \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & N \end{pmatrix};$$

(ii) denote $K(A) = \sum m_i E_i$, and $K(A') = \sum m'_i E'_i + \sum n_j F_j$, then $K(A'') = \sum m'_i E''_i$;

(iii) $K(A) \cdot E_i = K(A') \cdot E'_i = K(A'') \cdot E''_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$; denote this value by c_i ; then $c_i \geq 0$, $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ and $\mathbf{m} = {}^t(m_1, \dots, m_r)$, $\mathbf{m}' = {}^t(m'_1, \dots, m'_r)$ are the solutions of linear equations $M\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c}$, $M_n\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{c}$, where $\mathbf{c} = {}^t(c_1, \dots, c_r)$;

(iv) $-K(A')^2 = -K(A'')^2 = -{}^t \mathbf{m}' M_n \mathbf{m}' = -{}^t \mathbf{c} M_n^{-1} \mathbf{c}$ and

$$-K(A)^2 = -{}^t \mathbf{m} M \mathbf{m} = -{}^t \mathbf{c} M^{-1} \mathbf{c}.$$

Proof. (i) follows from that $\varphi^* E''_1 = E'_1 + \frac{n}{n+1} F_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1} F_n$ and the similar equality for $\varphi^* E''_2$. As the singularity obtained by the contraction φ is a rational double point, it follows that $K(A') = \varphi^* K(A'')$. (ii) follows immediately from this fact. In (iii), the equality $K(A') \cdot E'_i = K(A'') \cdot E''_i$ follows also from this fact. The equality $K(A) \cdot E_i = K(A') \cdot E'_i$ follows

from the fact that every pair E_i, E'_i has the common arithmetic genus and the self intersection number by the definition of (-2) -insertion. The statement of the value of c_i follows from that A' has no (-1) -curve and E'_1, E'_2 are (-2) -curves. The first equality of (iv) also follows from that $K(A') = \varphi^* K(A'')$ and the rest is from the definitions. $\square$

Lemma 1.7. *Let M_n be negative definite $r \times r$ real symmetric matrices for every integer $n \geq 0$ as follows:*

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n+2}{n+1} & \frac{1}{n+1} & {}^t \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \frac{1}{n+1} & -\frac{n+2}{n+1} & {}^t \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that every (i, j) -entry of M_n is non-negative for $i \neq j$. Let c_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$) be non-negative real numbers with $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ and $\mathbf{c}$ be ${}^t(c_1, \dots, c_r)$. Let $\mathbf{m} = {}^t(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $\mathbf{m}' = {}^t(m'_1, \dots, m'_r)$ be the solutions of linear equations $M_0 \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c}$ and $M_n \mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{c}$, respectively. Then,

- (i) $m_i \leq 0$ and $m'_i \leq 0$ for every i , and
- (ii)

$$-{}^t \mathbf{m}' M_n \mathbf{m}' = -{}^t \mathbf{m} M_0 \mathbf{m} + \frac{n}{n+1} (m_1 - m_2)(m'_1 - m'_2).$$

Proof. (i). Let e_{ij} be the (i, j) -entry of M_0 . Let n_i be m_i if $m_i \geq 0$ and 0 if $m_i < 0$. Let n'_i be 0 if $m_i \geq 0$ and $-m_i$ if $m_i < 0$. Then $\mathbf{n} = {}^t(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ and $\mathbf{n}' = {}^t(n'_1, \dots, n'_r)$ satisfy that $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}'$. One will prove $\mathbf{n} = 0$.

$$0 \leq {}^t \mathbf{n} \mathbf{c} = {}^t \mathbf{n} M_0 \mathbf{m} = {}^t \mathbf{n} M_0 \mathbf{n} - {}^t \mathbf{n} M_0 \mathbf{n}'.$$

Here ${}^t \mathbf{n} M_0 \mathbf{n} \leq 0$ by the negative definiteness and ${}^t \mathbf{n} M_0 \mathbf{n}' \geq 0$ by $n_i e_{ij} n'_j \geq 0$ for every i, j . Therefore $\mathbf{n}$ must be 0. The proof for m'_i is the same. For the proof of (ii) let e_{ij} and e'_{ij} be the (i, j) -entries of M_0 and M_n , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t \mathbf{m}' M_n \mathbf{m}' - {}^t \mathbf{m} M_0 \mathbf{m} &= \sum_{i,j \geq 1} m'_i m'_j e'_{ij} - \sum_{i,j \geq 1} m_i m_j e_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i (m'_i - m_i) = \sum_{i \geq 3} c_i (m'_i - m_i) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 1} m_j (\sum_{i \geq 3} m'_i e_{ij} - \sum_{i \geq 3} m_i e_{ij}) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 1} m_j (m_1 e_{1j} + m_2 e_{2j} - m'_1 e'_{1j} - m'_2 e'_{2j}) \\ &= -m'_1 \sum_{j \geq 1} m_j e'_{1j} - m'_2 \sum_{j \geq 1} m_j e'_{2j} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1,2,j=1,2} m'_i m_j e'_{ij} - m'_1 \sum_{j \geq 3} m_j e'_{1j} - m'_2 \sum_{j \geq 3} m_j e'_{2j} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1,2,j=1,2} m'_i m_j e'_{ij} - m'_1 \sum_{j \geq 3} m_j e_{1j} - m'_2 \sum_{j \geq 3} m_j e_{2j} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1,2,j=1,2} (m'_i m_j e'_{ij} - m'_i m_j e_{ij}) = -\frac{n}{n+1} (m_1 - m_2)(m'_1 - m'_2). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.8. *Let $\mathbf{m}$, $\mathbf{m}'$ and M_n be as in Lemma 1.7. Then*

$$m'_1 - m'_2 = \frac{\det M_0}{\det M_n} (m_1 - m_2).$$

Proof. In the following, one uses the notation in Lemma 1.7. Since $\mathbf{m}'$ is the solution of the linear equations: $M_n \mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{c}$, by Cramer's formula one obtains that

$$m'_1 = \frac{1}{\det M_n} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{n+1} & {}^t \mathbf{a}_1 \\ 0 & -\frac{n+2}{n+1} & {}^t \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{c}' & \mathbf{a}_2 & N \end{vmatrix}$$

$$m'_2 = \frac{1}{\det M_n} \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{n+2}{n+1} & 0 & {}^t \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \frac{1}{n+1} & 0 & {}^t \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{c}' & N \end{vmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{c}' = {}^t(c_3, \dots, c_r)$. For $i = 1, 2$, let a_i be

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & {}^t \mathbf{a}_i \\ \mathbf{c}' & N \end{vmatrix},$$

then

$$m'_1 = \frac{1}{\det M_n} \left\{ -\frac{1}{n+1} a_2 - \frac{n+2}{n+1} a_1 + (\text{terms independent of } n) \right\}$$

$$m'_2 = \frac{1}{\det M_n} \left\{ -\frac{n+2}{n+1} a_2 - \frac{1}{n+1} a_1 + (\text{terms independent of } n) \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$m'_1 - m'_2 = \frac{1}{\det M_n} \{ a_2 - a_1 + (\text{terms independent of } n) \}.$$

Now comparing this with the equality for $n = 0$, it follows that

$$\det M_n (m'_1 - m'_2) = \det M_0 (m_1 - m_2).$$

□

Corollary 1.9. *Let $A' = \sum_{i=1}^r E'_i + \sum_{j=1}^n F_j$ be a (-2) -insertion of $A = \sum_{i=1}^r E_i$. Then*

$$-K(A')^2 \geq -K(A)^2.$$

Proof. Under the notation in 1.6, $-K(A')^2 = -{}^t \mathbf{m}' M_n \mathbf{m}'$ and $-K(A)^2 = -{}^t \mathbf{m} M_0 \mathbf{m}$. Then by 1.7 and 1.8 it follows the equality

$$-K(A')^2 = -K(A)^2 + \frac{n \det M_0}{(n+1) \det M_n} (m_1 - m_2)^2.$$

Here noting that M_0 and M_n are negative definite matrices of the same size, one obtains the inequality $\det M_0 / \det M_n > 0$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 1.10. *The set $\{-K^2|K$ is the numerical canonical divisor of a normal surface singularity $(X, x)\}$ has no accumulation points from above.*

Proof. Assume there exists an accumulation point α from above. Then one can take a sequence of surface singularities $\{(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-K_{(n)}^2)$ and $-K_{(n)}^2 > -K_{(n+1)}^2$ for all n , where $K_{(n)}$ is the numerical canonical divisor of the singularity $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$. Let $A_{(n)}$ be the exceptional divisor on the minimal resolution of $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$, $E_{(n)i}$ and $F_{(n)j}$ be non-(-2)-curve and (-2)-curve of $A_{(n)}$, respectively. Since $-K_{(n)}^2$ are bounded and $(K_{(n)} \cdot E_{(n)i})^2 / (-E_{(n)i}^2) \geq 1/3$, $K_{(n)} \cdot F_{(n)j} = 0$, the numbers of the components $E_{(n)i}$ are bounded by 1.3. Replacing by a subsequence, one may assume that the numbers of components $E_{(n)i}$'s are the same; say r . By 1.3 and the equality

$$(K_{(n)} \cdot E_{(n)i})^2 / (-E_{(n)i}^2) = (2p_a(E_{(n)i}) - 2)^2 / (-E_{(n)i}^2) + 2(2p_a(E_{(n)i}) - 2) - E_{(n)i}^2,$$

it follows that $p(E_{(n)i})$ and $-E_{(n)i}^2$ are bounded; therefore one may assume that these are constant by taking a subsequence. Since $(E_{(n)i} \cdot E_{(n)j})^2 < E_{(n)i}^2 E_{(n)j}^2$, the intersections $E_{(n)i} \cdot E_{(n)j}$ are also bounded, so one may assume that these are also constant for every (i, j) . Now one may assume that the numerical conditions of the non-(-2)-curves $\{E_{(n)i}\}_{i=1}^r$ are the same for every n . Next one should note that the numbers of the connected components of $F_{(n)j}$'s are bounded. In fact, every connected component has an intersection with at least one of the $E_{(n)i}$'s because of the connectedness of the exceptional divisor, and the numbers of the connected components of $F_{(n)j}$'s which $E_{(n)i}$ can intersect are bounded because of the negative definiteness of the intersection matrix. So one may assume that the numbers of the connected components of $F_{(n)j}$'s are constant; say s . Let $S_{(n)k}$, $k = 1, \dots, s$ be the connected components of $F_{(n)j}$'s. As the intersections of $S_{(n)k}$'s and $E_{(n)i}$'s are bounded, one may assume that each $S_{(n)k}$ intersects the same $E_{(n)i}$'s with the same intersection numbers for all n . If the numbers of irreducible components of $S_{(n)k}$ are bounded for all n , then one may assume that the configuration of $S_{(n)k} \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^r E_{(n)i})$ is fixed. Note that $S_{(n)k}$ is one of the configurations A_m ($m \geq 1$), D_m ($m \geq 4$), E_6 , E_7 , E_8 . If the number of irreducible components of $S_{(n)k}$ tends to ∞ , then $S_{(n)k}$ is either A_m or D_m . Therefore one may assume that $A_{(n)} \rightarrow A_{(n+1)}$ is

a composite of (-2) -insertions. By 1.9 one obtains that

$$-K_{(n)}^2 \leq -K_{(n+1)}^2,$$

which is a contradiction. $\square$

2. Accumulation points of $-K^2$

2.1. Let A be a compact divisor on a non-singular surface. A string S in A is a chain of (-2) -curves $A_1, \dots, A_n$ so that $A_i \cdot A_{i+1} = 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$), and these account for all intersections in A except that at least one of A_1 and A_n intersects other curves.

Now one prepare an easy lemma for (-2) -insertions.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^r E_i \rightarrow A' = \sum_{i=1}^r E'_i + S_1 + S_2$ be the composite of (-2) -insertions with the insertion strings S_1 and S_2 . If S_1 and S_2 are in one maximal string of A' , then $A \rightarrow A'$ is regarded as one (-2) -insertion.*

Theorem 2.3. *All accumulation points of the set $\{-K^2 | K \text{ is the numerical canonical divisor of a normal surface singularity } (X, x)\}$ from below are rational numbers.*

Proof. Let α be an accumulation point of the set $\{-K^2\}$ from below. Then one can take a sequence of surface singularities $\{(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-K_{(n)}^2)$ and $-K_{(n)}^2 < -K_{(n+1)}^2$ for every n , where $K_{(n)}$ is the numerical canonical divisor of $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$. Let $A_{(n)}$ be the exceptional divisor on the minimal resolution of $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$. Replacing by a suitable subsequence, one may assume that $A_{(1)} \rightarrow A_{(n)}$ is a composite of (-2) -insertions: $A_{(1)} = A_{0\dots 0} = \sum_{i=1}^r E_i$, $A_{(n)} = A_{n_1\dots n_k} = \sum_{i=1}^r E'_i + \sum_{j=1}^k S_j$, where $S_j = \sum_{s=1}^{n_j} F_{js}$ is the insertion string with the props E'_{2j-1}, E'_{2j} . And one may assume that if $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$. By 2.2, we may assume that every maximal string of $A_{(n)}$ has at most one insertion string. Let φ be the contraction morphism of $S_1, \dots, S_k$. Denote $\varphi_* A_{n_1\dots n_k}$ by $A''_{n_1\dots n_k}$ and $\varphi_* E'_i$ by E''_i .

Let $M_{n_1 \dots n_k}$ be the intersection matrix of $A''_{n_1 \dots n_k}$, then by (i) of 1.6

$$M_{n_1 \dots n_k} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n_1+2}{n_1+1} & \frac{1}{n_1+1} & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & {}^t\mathbf{a}_1 \\ \frac{1}{n_1+1} & -\frac{n_1+2}{n_1+1} & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & {}^t\mathbf{a}_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & -\frac{n_k+2}{n_k+1} & \frac{1}{n_k+1} & {}^t\mathbf{a}_{2k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{n_k+1} & -\frac{n_k+2}{n_k+1} & {}^t\mathbf{a}_{2k} \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \dots & \dots & \mathbf{a}_{2k-1} & \mathbf{a}_{2k} & N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote $\lim_{n_1, \dots, n_k \rightarrow \infty} M_{n_1 \dots n_k}$ by $M_{\infty \dots \infty}$. Then by the definition of $M_{n_1 \dots n_k}$, every entry of the matrix $M_{\infty \dots \infty}$ is rational. By 1.6, $K(A''_{n_1 \dots n_k}) \cdot E''_i = K(A_{0 \dots 0}) \cdot E_i$ for every i and $n_1, \dots, n_k$. Denote this value by c_i , and put $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$, then c_i is non-negative integer for every i and $c_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2k$. Noting that $-K(A_{n_1 \dots n_k})^2 = -K(A''_{n_1 \dots n_k})^2 = -{}^t\mathbf{c}M_{n_1 \dots n_k}^{-1}\mathbf{c}$, if one proves that $M_{\infty \dots \infty}$ is invertible, it follows that $\lim_{n_1, \dots, n_k \rightarrow \infty} -K(A_{n_1 \dots n_k})^2 = -{}^t\mathbf{c}M_{\infty \dots \infty}^{-1}\mathbf{c}$, which is rational as required. First one claims that $M_{\infty n_2 \dots n_k}$ is invertible for every $(n_2, \dots, n_k)$. For this, it is sufficient to prove that, fixing $(n_2, \dots, n_k)$ there exists a positive number ϵ such that $|\det M_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}| > \epsilon$ for all n_1 . By the proof of 1.9,

$$-K(A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k})^2 = -K(A_{0 n_2 \dots n_k})^2 + \frac{n_1 \det M_{0 n_2 \dots n_k}}{(n_1 + 1) \det M_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}} (m_1 - m_2)^2.$$

This shows that $m_1 \neq m_2$, because $-K^2$ is strictly increasing. Since $-K(A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k})^2 < \alpha$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\det M_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}| &= \frac{n_1 |\det M_{0 n_2 \dots n_k}| (m_1 - m_2)^2}{(n_1 + 1) (-K(A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k})^2 + K(A_{0 n_2 \dots n_k})^2)} \\ &> \frac{|\det M_{0 n_2 \dots n_k}| (m_1 - m_2)^2}{2(\alpha + K(A_{0 n_2 \dots n_k})^2)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all n_1 .

Next fixing $(n_3, \dots, n_k)$, one will show that $M_{\infty \infty n_3 \dots n_k}$ is invertible. Take the solution $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ for linear equations $M_{\infty 0 n_3 \dots n_k} \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c}$. Then again by the proof of 1.9,

$${}^t\mathbf{c}M_{\infty n_2 \dots n_k}^{-1}\mathbf{c} = {}^t\mathbf{c}M_{\infty 0 n_3 \dots n_k}^{-1}\mathbf{c} + \frac{n_2 \det M_{\infty 0 n_3 \dots n_k}}{(n_2 + 1) \det M_{\infty n_2 \dots n_k}} (m_3 - m_4)^2.$$

If $m_3 \neq m_4$, then one can proceed to get the regularity of $M_{\infty \infty n_3 \dots n_k}$ in the same way as the discussion on n_1 . If $m_3 = m_4$, then ${}^t\mathbf{c}M_{\infty n_2 \dots n_k}^{-1}\mathbf{c}$ is constant for every n_2 . In this case we define $M_{\infty \infty n_3 \dots n_k}$ to be $M_{\infty 0 n_3 \dots n_k}$ which is invertible.

By the successive procedure, it finally follows that $M_{\infty, \dots, \infty}$ is invertible. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *For an arbitrary rational number r , there exists an integer n such that $n + r$ is an accumulation point of $\{-K^2\}$.*

Proof. One may assume that $r = k/m$ with $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and k is even. Let $A_{(n)}$ be a divisor $E_1 + E_2 + \sum_{j=1}^n F_j$ with the following dual graph:

$$\begin{array}{c} x - o - \cdots - o - o - o, \\ | \\ x \end{array}$$

where $x = E_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $o = F_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Assume that F_j 's are (-2) -curves, and E_i 's are non-singular curves of genus $k/2$ with self-intersection number $-2km - 2$. First note that these divisors can be constructed in ruled surfaces of genus $k/2$. Then one can show that the accumulation point of the set $\{-K(A_{(n)})^2\}$ is $k/m + \text{integer}$. In fact, put $K(A_{(n)}) = \sum_{i=1}^2 m_{(n)i} E_i + \sum_{j=1}^n s_{(n)j} F_j$, then, solving the linear equations, one obtains:

$$m_{(n)1} = m_{(n)2} = \frac{(1 + 1/n)k(2m + 1)}{(2km + 2)(-1 - 1/n) + 2}.$$

Therefore

$$-K(A_{(n)})^2 = -\sum_{i=1}^2 m_{(n)i} K(A_{(n)}) \cdot E_i = \frac{2(1 + 1/n)k^2(2m + 1)^2}{(2km + 2)(1 + 1/n) - 2}$$

which tends to $\frac{k^2(2m+1)^2}{km} = k/m + \text{integer}$, when n tends to ∞ . $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *For positive integers r, k with $r \geq k - 1$, the rational number r^2/k is an accumulation point of $\{-K^2\}$.*

Proof. For r, k such that $r - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, take a divisor with the following configuration:

$$o - \cdots - o - x,$$

where o 's are (-2) -curves and x is a non-singular curve of genus $(r - k + 1)/2$ with the self-intersection number $-(k + 1)$. By the condition of r, k , the curve x is not a (-1) -curve; therefore this divisor can be the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of a singularity. Let the number of (-2) -curves be n , then, for the numerical canonical divisor $K_{(n)}$ of the corresponding singularity, it follows that

$$-K_{(n)}^2 = \frac{r^2}{k + 1 - n/(n + 1)}.$$

Therefore if n tends to ∞ , then this value tends to r^2/k .

For r, k with $r - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, take a divisor with the following configuration:

$$o - \cdots - o - x - o - \cdots - o,$$

where o 's are (-2) -curves and x is non-singular curve of genus $(r-k)/2$ with the self-intersection number $-(k+2)$. Then this divisor also can be the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of a singularity. Let the numbers of (-2) -curves of the both hand sides of x be n and s . Then

$$-K_{(n,s)}^2 = \frac{r^2}{k+2 - n/(n+1) - s/(s+1)}.$$

Therefore if n and s tend to ∞ , then this value tends to r^2/k . $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *An arbitrary positive integer is an accumulation point of $\{-K^2\}$.*

Proof. In Proposition 2.5, put $r = k$. $\square$

Example 2.7. Here one calculates the value of $-K^2$ for rational triple points. Rational triple points are classified into 9 classes according to the dual graphs in [4]. In the following dual graphs, x denotes the (-3) -curve and o denotes (-2) -curve.

$$\begin{array}{c} I_{n,s,t} : o - \cdots - o - x - o - \cdots - o \\ | \\ o - \cdots - o \end{array}$$

where n, s, t are the numbers of (-2) -curves in each string. Then

$$-K(I_{n,s,t})^2 = \frac{1}{3 - n/(n+1) - s/(s+1) - t/(t+1)}.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} II_{n,s} : o - \cdots - o - x - o - \cdots - o - o \\ | \\ o \end{array}$$

where n is the number of (-2) -curves in the left string and s is that in the graph of the right hand side of x . Then

$$-K(II_{n,s})^2 = \frac{n+1}{n+2}.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} III_{n,s} : o - \cdots - o - x - o - \cdots - o \\ | \\ o \end{array}$$

where n is the number of (-2) -curves in the left string and s is that in the graph of the right hand side of x . Then

$$-K(III_{n,s})^2 = \frac{1}{3 - n/(n+1) - 2(s-1)/(s+1)}.$$

$$IV_n : o - \cdots - o - x - o - o - o - o$$

$$\quad \quad \quad |$$

$$\quad \quad \quad o$$

where n is the number of (-2) -curves in the left string. Then

$$-K(IV_n)^2 = \frac{4n+4}{3n+7}.$$

$$V_n : o - \cdots - o - x - o - o - o - o - o$$

$$\quad \quad \quad |$$

$$\quad \quad \quad o$$

where n is the number of (-2) -curves in the left string. Then

$$-K(V_n)^2 = \frac{3n+3}{2n+5}.$$

$$VI_n : o - \cdots - o - o - o - o$$

$$\quad \quad \quad |$$

$$\quad \quad \quad x$$

where $n+2$ is the number of (-2) -curves. Then $-K(VI_n)^2 = (n+3)/9$.

$$VII : x - o - o - o - o - o \text{ Then } -K(VII)^2 = 2/3.$$

$$\quad \quad \quad |$$

$$\quad \quad \quad o$$

$$VIII : x - o - o - o - o - o - o \text{ Then } -K(VIII)^2 = 4/5.$$

$$\quad \quad \quad |$$

$$\quad \quad \quad o$$

$$IX : x - o - o - o - o - o - o \text{ Then } -K(IX)^2 = 2/3.$$

$$\quad \quad \quad |$$

$$\quad \quad \quad o$$

Proposition 2.8. *The accumulation points of $-K^2$ for normal surface singularities in the interval $[0, 1]$ are $m/(m+1)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) and 1.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ be an accumulation point of $-K^2$. Then one can take a sequence of normal surface singularities $\{(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ whose numerical canonical divisors $K_{(n)}$ satisfy $0 < -K_{(n)}^2 < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-K_{(n)}^2) = \alpha$. Then $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$ are rational triple points. In fact, for the fundamental cycle Z on the minimal resolution of $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$, the quadratic form $(xK + yZ)^2$ is negative semidefinite, where $K = K_{(n)}$. Therefore $K^2 Z^2 \geq (K \cdot Z)^2$. Since $1 > -K^2$ and $K \cdot Z$ is a non-negative integer, one obtains the inequalities:

$$(2.8.1) \quad -Z^2 > (-K^2)(-Z^2) \geq (K \cdot Z)^2 \geq K \cdot Z.$$

Hence $Z^2 + K \cdot Z < 0$, which yields that $Z^2 + K \cdot Z = -2$ because $p_a(Z) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(Z^2 + K \cdot Z) \geq 0$. Thus $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$ is a rational singularity. On the other hand, $-Z^2 > (K \cdot Z)^2$ by (2.8.1). This gives the inequality:

$$0 > Z^2 + (K \cdot Z)^2 = (K \cdot Z)^2 - K \cdot Z - 2 = (K \cdot Z + 1)(K \cdot Z - 2)$$

by combining $Z^2 = -K \cdot Z - 2$. Hence $K \cdot Z = 0$ or 1 , equivalently $Z^2 = -2$ or -3 , which shows that the multiplicity of $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$ is 3 since $-K^2 > 0$.

For rational triple points, we have all accumulation points in the previous example. $\square$

3. Boundedness of invariants for the constant $-K^2$

In this section one observes the behavior of various invariants of a singularity under fixing $-K^2$.

Example 3.1. Under fixing $-K^2$, the numbers of the exceptional curves on minimal resolutions are not in general bounded. For example A_n has n -exceptional curves and $-K^2 = 0$ for every n .

One can also see such an example with $-K^2 \neq 0$. Take singularities with the minimal resolutions of the following graphs:

$$x - o - \cdots - o - x,$$

where x 's are (-3) -curves and o 's are (-2) -curves and the number of (-2) -curves is n . Then $-K^2 = 1$ for every n .

By these examples one can see that the boundedness, as in [2], for singularities under fixing $-K^2$ cannot be expected. But still $-K^2$ has a power to controll other invariants of singularities.

Theorem 3.2. *For a normal surface singularity (X, x) , the numerical canonical divisor K , the multiplicity and the embedding dimension of (X, x) satisfy the following inequalities:*

$$\begin{aligned}
-K^2 &\geq \text{mult}(X, x) - 4, \\
-K^2 &\geq \text{embdim}(X, x) - 5.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be the minimal resolution of the singularity (X, x) and K the numerical canonical divisor. Let $h : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a suitable proper birational morphism such that $g := f \circ h : Y' \rightarrow X$ factors through the blowing-up of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$. Let D be the divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}(-D) = \mathfrak{m}_{X,x}\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$. Then $-D$ is relatively nef with respect to g and by [10], 3.12, it follows that $p_a(D) \geq 0$. If one denote by K' the numerical canonical divisor for $g : Y' \rightarrow X$, it follows that $h^*K = K' - \Delta$ with $\Delta > 0$. Noting that $(h^*K + D)^2 \leq 0$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
-K^2 &= -(h^*K)^2 \geq 2(h^*K \cdot D + D^2) - D^2 \\
&\geq 2(K' \cdot D + D^2) - D^2 \geq -4 - D^2 = -4 + \text{mult}(X, x).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by [1] $\text{embdim} R \leq \text{mult}_{\mathfrak{m}} R + \dim R - 1$ for a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. Therefore follows the inequality involving the embedding dimension. $\square$

Immediately by this theorem one obtains the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *The multiplicities and the embedding dimensions are bounded for the constant $-K^2$.*

Theorem 3.4. *For a normal surface singularity (X, x) , the numerical canonical divisor and the arithmetic genus satisfy:*

$$-K^2 \geq 4p_a(X, x) - 3.$$

Corollary 3.5. *The arithmetic genera are bounded for the constant $-K^2$.*

Proof. By the negative definiteness, $(K + D)^2 \leq 0$ for every divisor D with the support on the exceptional divisor. Therefore $-K^2 \geq -D^2 + 2(K \cdot D + D^2) = -D^2 + 4(p_a(D) - 1)$, where $-D^2 \geq 1$. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *The numerical indices are bounded for the constant $-K^2$.*

Proof. Assume that the numerical index $r(X, x)$ is not bounded for the constant $-K^2$. Then there exists a sequence of singularities $\{(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with constant $-K^2$ such that $r(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)}) < r(X_{(n+1)}, x_{(n+1)})$ for every n . One will show a contradiction by constructing a subsequence with the constant $r(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$. Let $A_{(n)}$ be the exceptional divisor on the minimal resolution of $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$. Then, replacing by a suitable subsequence, one may assume that $A_{(n)} \rightarrow A_{(n+1)}$ is a composite of (-2) -insertions. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that a (-2) -insertion $A = \sum_{i=1}^r E_i \rightarrow A' = \sum_{i=1}^r E'_i + \sum_{j=1}^n F_j$ with $-K(A)^2 = -K(A')^2$ does not change the numerical indices. Denote $K(A)$ and $K(A')$ by $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i E_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r m'_i E'_i + \sum_{j=1}^n n_j F_j$. By 1.6, 1.7 and 1.8,

$$-K(A')^2 = -K(A)^2 + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{\det M}{\det M_n} (m_1 - m_2)^2.$$

Then $m_1 = m_2$ because $-K(A')^2 = -K(A)^2$. Let K' be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i E'_i + m_1 \sum_{j=1}^n F_j$, then it satisfies the equations $K' \cdot E'_i = 2p_a(E_i) - 2 - E_i^2 = K(A') \cdot E'_i$ and $K' \cdot F_j = 2p_a(F_j) - 2 - F_j^2 = K(A') \cdot F_j$ for all i and j . By the uniqueness of the solution of these equations, it follows that $K' = K(A')$. Hence the sets $\{m'_i, n_j\}$ and $\{m_i\}$ coincide, showing that the numerical indices are the same. $\square$

Immediately by this theorem one obtains the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7. *For rational singularities, the indices are bounded for the fixed $-K^2$.*

Theorem 3.8. *If $-K^2$ is fixed, then the geometric genera p_g are bounded and also the plurigenera γ_m are bounded for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let r be the numerical index of the singularity (X, x) . By Kato's inequality ((16) of [6]) $p_g(X, x) \leq r(-K^2)$ and by 3.6, the boundedness of the geometric genera follows. For the plurigenera, recall the formula in [9]:

$$\gamma_m(X, x) = \frac{-K^2}{2} m(m-1) + p_g(X, x) + \epsilon,$$

where ϵ is bounded. Hence the boundedness of the plurigenera also follows. $\square$

Recently Tomari obtained an inequality that p_g is bounded by $(\text{constant})(-K^2)$ from above without the numerical index([11]).

3.9. The converse of 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 and of 3.8 do not hold. In fact, for rational triple point (X, x) , obviously $\text{mult}(X, x) = 3$ and $\text{embdim}(X, x) = 4$, but $-K(I_{n,s,t})^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $n, s, t \rightarrow \infty$. As another example, let $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$ be a simple elliptic singularity such that the exceptional

divisor $E_{(n)}$ on the minimal resolution has the self intersection number $E_{(n)}^2 = -n$. Then $r(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)}) = 1$ and $p_g(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)}) = p_a(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)}) = 1$ for every n , but $-K_{(n)}^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3.10. One may expect that $-(K + \Delta)^2$ also has D.C.C. for relatively nef $K + \Delta$, where $\Delta = \sum b_i A_i$ with all coefficients b_i belonging to a D.C.C. set $\mathcal{C}$, as is conjectured in [8] for the case of compact surfaces and answered in [2] for that case. For a singularity case, as in this paper, it is true for $\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$, but not true in general. Indeed, take $\mathcal{C} = \{1\}$. Let (X, x) be a non-log-canonical singularity with $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. For example, take a singularity with $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action with the following dual graph:

$$\begin{array}{c} y \\ | \\ y - z - y, \\ | \\ y \end{array}$$

where y 's are (-3) -curves and z is a (-5) -curve. Then (X, x) is a non-log-canonical singularity. Let $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$ be the quotient of (X, x) by the subgroup $\langle \epsilon_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^*$, where ϵ_n is a primitive n -th root of unity. Let $\varphi_{(n)}^* : Y_{(n)} \rightarrow X_{(n)}$ be the log-canonical model; i.e. $(Y_{(n)}, A_{(n)})$ has at worst log-canonical singularities and $K_{(n)} + A_{(n)}$ is $\varphi_{(n)}$ -ample, where $K_{(n)}$ is the numerical canonical divisor on $Y_{(n)}$ and $A_{(n)}$ is the reduced exceptional divisor. Here note that $-(K_{(n)} + A_{(n)})^2$ is $-P^2$ of the singularity $(X_{(n)}, x_{(n)})$. Then by [12] $-(K_{(1)} + A_{(1)})^2 \geq -n(K_{(n)} + A_{(n)})^2$. Therefore $-(K_{(n)} + A_{(n)})^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. S.S. Abhyanker, *Local rings of high embedding dimension*, Amer. J. Math. **89**, (1967) 1073-1077
2. V. Alexeev, *Boundedness and K^2 for log surfaces*, International J. Math. **5**, (1994) 779-810
3. V. Alexeev & S. Mori, *Bounding singular surfaces of general type*, <ftp://ftp.math.utah.edu/u/ma/kollar/alexeevmori.ps>
4. M. Artin, *On isolated rational singularities of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **88**, (1966) 129-136
5. F. M. Ganter, *Properties of $-P \cdot P$ for Gorenstein surface singularities*, preprint (1994)
6. M. Kato, *Riemann-Roch theorem for strongly pseudoconvex manifolds of dimension 2*, Math. Ann. **222**, (1976) 243-250
7. F.W. Knöller, *2-dimensionale Singularitäten und Differentialformen*, Math. Ann. **206**, (1973) 205-213
8. J. Kollár, *Log surfaces of general type; Some conjectures*, Contemporary Math. **162**, (1994) 261-275
9. M. Morales, *Calcul de quelques invariants des singularités de surface normale*, Monographes **31**, de l'Enseignement Math., Genève (1983) 191-203
10. M. Tomari, *A p_g -formula and elliptic singularities*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **21**, (1985) 297-354
11. ———, *Upper bound of the geometric genus of normal two-dimensional singularities*, preprint (1997)
12. J. Wahl, *A characteristic number for links of surface singularities*, J. AMS **3**, (1990) 625-637
13. K. Watanabe, *On plurigeners of normal isolated singularities I*, Math. Ann. **250**, (1980) 65-94

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHONGSHAN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG, CHINA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO, TOKYO 152, JAPAN